

Name of work: Four Laning of Imphal-Moreh Section of NH 39 from Km 330.00 to Km 350.00 (Contract Package-I) in the state of Manipur (Re-tender).

Reply to Pre-Bid Queries dated 27.12.2018

S No	Clause No.	Existing Clause/ Description	Issues raised	Reply to
1	Clause 4 of Schedule A	The present carriageway width is approximately 7.00 m except few urban locations where it is 9 to 16.5 m. The type of the existing pavement is flexible.	Requested to provide stretch wise details of existing carriageway for effective assessment of the scope of work.	As per DCA
2	Clause 1.2.1 and Clause 2.11 of Schedule B	<p>Clause 1.2.1: Width of Carriageway</p> <p>"The proposed 4-Lane Carriageway starts from Km 330+000 to Km 350+000. The paved carriageway shall be 17.5m (2x7m + 2x1.5m paved shoulder + 2x0.25 shyness) for 4-Lane in accordance with the typical cross section drawings, except in the areas mentioned in the table below where paved carriageway shall be 17.5m (2x7m + 2x2.0m paved shoulder + 2x0.25 shyness). The width of carriageway in open country, built up areas and approaches of grade separated structures shall be as per the Manual (IRC SP 84:2014) (herein after called the 'Manual') unless otherwise specified in this Schedule-B and Schedule-D".</p> <p>Clause 2.11: Typical cross-section of the Project Highway</p>	<p>Contradictory and mismatch in carriageway width shown in clause 1.2.1 and width shown clause 2.11 (typical cross section) w.r.t proposed ROW?</p> <p>- Paved carriageway as per above clause at section other than built-up area is 17.50m (2x7m + 2x1.5m paved shoulder + 2x0.25 shyness) not matching with width shown in cross section which is 17.00m (2x7m carriageway + 2x1.5m paved shoulder).</p> <p>- Paved carriageway as per above clause at built-up section is (2x7m + 2x2m paved shoulder + 2x0.25 shyness) which total width is 18.50m, whereas, total width shown is 17.50m, and also in cross section type TCS 4 for built-up section the paved shoulder shown is 1.5m and the width shown is 17.00m (2x7m carriageway + 2x1.5m paved shoulder).</p> <p>Requested to confirm/revise the above dimensions keeping in view of proposed ROW as per clause 2.4 of schedule B and Annex II of Schedule A.</p>	Cross section details as per Clause 2.14 of Schedule B.
3	Clause 2.11 of	Typical cross section of the Project Highway	TCS 1 showing the "varies existing paved shoulder" in proposed	As per Schedule-B

Name of work: Four Laning of Imphal-Moreh Section of NH 39 from Km 330.00 to Km 350.00 (Contract Package-I) in the state of Manipur (Re-tender).


S No	Clause No.	Existing Clause/ Description	Issues raised	Reply to
	Schedule B	TCS 1- Concentric Widening in Built-Up Areas- Four Lane Carriageway	roadways whereas the existing carriageway width is 7.00m as per clause 4 of Schedule A. Please clarify the same and provided chainage wise details of existing paved shoulder to be retained.	
4	Clause 2.11 of Schedule B & Clause 2.4 of Schedule B	Typical cross section of the Project Highway TCS 5- Typical cross section for VUP approach with Service Road	Proposed configuration provided in TCS 5 required ROW of 36.50m as shown, whereas proposed ROW width is 30.00m provided as per clause 2.4 of schedule B. Please clarify the same and provide the land acquisition status and details of additional ROW of 6.50m required to fulfill the configuration of TCS 5.	PROW will be available as per TCS 5 as per Schedule-B
5	Clause 2.11 of Schedule B	Typical cross section of the Project Highway TCS 7- Concentric Widening for Four Lane in Built-Up area (Plain Terrain)	Proposed ROW shown in TCS 7 is 22.00m whereas the sum of dimensions for proposed activities is 23.00m which is more than proposed ROW. Please clarify above points and TCS 7 may please modified accordingly.	PROW be read of 23.00 m (11.5 m x 2) as per sum of dimensions under TCS 7 as per Schedule-B
Pre Bid Queries Related to DCA				
1	10.2.1 - Design and Drawings	"Design and Drawings shall be developed in conformity with the Specifications and Standards set forth in Schedule-D. In the event, the Contractor requires any relaxation in design standards due to restricted Right of Way in any section, the alternative design criteria for such section shall be provided for review of the Authority's Engineer."	Bidder understands that such works pursuant to alternative design shall be valued as per Clause 13 [Change of Scope]. Please confirm.	As per DCA
2	Cl. 10.2.5 Draft EPC Agreement	10.2.5 Any cost or delay in construction arising from review/approval by the Authority's Engineer shall be borne by the Contractor.	Request to remove such condition as consequences for delay caused by actions of one party cannot be imposed on other party.	As per DCA
General Pre Bid Queries				

Name of work: Four Laning of Imphal-Moreh Section of NH 39 from Km 330.00 to Km 350.00 (Contract Package-I) in the state of Manipur (Re-tender).

S No	Clause No.	Existing Clause/ Description	Issues raised	Reply to
1	General	Cost of electrical connection & Electricity Consumption Charges of project during defect liability period.	Who will borne the fee cost of electrical connection & Electricity Consumption Charges of the project during defect liability period?	As per DCA
2	General	Cost of energy consumption of project during defect liability period.	Who will borne the fee cost of energy consumption of the project during defect liability period?	As per DCA
3	General		Does the project road passes through any forest land?	Approval obtained.
4	General	Shifting of Utilities	a.) We presume that there shall be utilities such as water pipelines, gas pipelines etc. running along or crossing over the Project road. Request Authority to kindly clarify the status of shifting of such utilities. B.) We understand that the Authority shall be responsible for shifting of such utilities and all cost shall be borne by the Authority.	As per DCA
5	General	Forest Land & Clearance	Request the Authority to kindly provide details of Forest land to be diverted and status of Forest Clearance	Approval obtained.
6	General	Wildlife Clearance	Request the Authority to kindly provide Applicability and status of wildlife clearance.	Not Applicable
7	General	Status of Land Acquisition	(i) Total land required for project (in Ha.) (ii) Land already in possession (EROW) (in Ha.) (iii) Total additional land to be acquired a) Private land (in Ha.) b) Govt land (in Ha.) c) Forest (in Ha.) (iv) Notification under Section 3(A) Completed for (in Ha.) (v) Notification under Section 3(D) Completed for (in Ha.) (vi) Determination of Compensation amount under Section 3(G)	At Appointed date or Within 90 days after Appointed Date as per Clause 8.2 of DCA. (Schedule-A, annex-II may be referred).

Name of work: Four Laning of Imphal-Moreh Section of NH 39 from Km 330.00 to Km 350.00 (Contract Package-I) in the state of Manipur (Re-tender).

S No	Clause No.	Existing Clause/ Description	Issues raised	Reply to
			(vii) Area of land for which Funds have been deposited with Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA) by NHIDCL (in Ha.)	
			(viii) Areas of land for which compensation has been disbursed by CALA (in Ha.)	


(M S Deol)
GM (Tech)